Status Report: Run 3 Electron Reconstruction Studies

Furkan Cetin

Heidelberg University

cetin@physi.uni-heidelberg.de

October 30, 2023

Motivation

- looked at track finding efficiencies
- electrons underperform against other particles



Track Types



Figure: LHCb Track Types

Problem: electron has many possibilities to emit Bremsstrahlung

Introduction

Tracking Electrons in LHCb

Difficulties for reconstructing electrons:

- $\bullet~$ typically lose 30% 40% of their energy before they reach the magnet
- all parametrisations for pattern recognition explicitly exclude electrons no measures to recover electron tracks



Here are a few of my findings.

Bremsstrahlung

- most lose energy upstream



Bremsstrahlung Vertices



 $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} e^+ e^-$, p > 5 GeV, Bremsstrahlung Vertices

- found: no emissions in magnet
- lost: material interaction and emissions in magnet

Simplified Track Model



Figure: Illustration of the Optical Model method to describe a trajectory through the magnet

Forward Tracking

- forwards Velo tracks and searches for possible Scifi hits



Magnet Kick Position

 z_{mag} generally closer to the Scifi for lost electrons.

- \rightarrow in general lost electrons are bent more by the magnet
- \rightarrow lower energy than found electrons



Efficiency



Efficiency, from B



The Matching Algorithm

Idea:

- baseline track finding already creates Velo and Scifi tracks independently
- implement Matching algorithm, for electrons, over residual Velo tracks

Basic Idea of Matching:

- quantify the level of agreement, i.e. a match, between Velo and Scifi track segments



Figure: Basic Idea of the Matching algorithm

< 15
$<250\mathrm{mm}$
$<250\mathrm{mm}$
< 1.5
< 0.15

Figure: Input Variables of the Matching MLP